# Simulation of beam bunch length in the region of the fast beam chopper for different FETS MEBT lattices to allow for a performance comparison and to decide on the required RF amplifiers for start of purchasing.

## 1) Introduction

This document was prepared to compare the performance of the different proposed FETS MEBT lattices in one crucial aspect of the planned FETS Chopper experiments which is the bunch length of the beam inside the fast chopper. This aspect is crucial as the temporal separation of the bunches (together with the RF frequency) defines the time available for the chopper to switch from off to on.

"Perfect" beam chopping only can be demonstrated if no bunch will see any of the transient voltages in the fast chopper. At 324 MHz the available time is 3.0864 ns in total. As this time or below (range of times that could be seriously considered is in the range of to 2.40 ns to 1.543 ns equivalent to an bunch length of 80 to 180 degree of the RF) is already a challenge for high voltage electronics the particle dynamics design should be made such that sufficient time is allowed for the electronics.

In the first chapter the simulation parameters are described with most of the underlying data ether in the attachments or on the FETS WIKI. In the second chapter the results of various simulations are presented and in the third chapter the results will be summarized, discussed and conclusions for the MEBT in respect to the power requirement of the (first) amplifier drawn.

## 1) Simulation Parameters

From the available documentation (see Appendix A-D) the following parameters for the position (and length) of the first two cavities and the fast beam chopper. The numbers are presented in the following table:

*MEBT\_12\_RBCx4\_SCHEME\_1*

Cavity 1 492 mm 200 mm

Cavity 2 1520 mm 200 mm

Chopper 1125 mm 450 (470) mm

*MEBT\_12\_RBCx4\_SCHEME\_2*

Cavity 1 492 mm 200 mm

Cavity 2 1520 mm 200 mm

Chopper 1125 mm 450 (470) mm

*MEBT\_12\_RBCx4\_600\_LONG\_CHOPPER*

Cavity 1 512 mm 200 mm

Cavity 2 1760 mm 200 mm

Chopper 1294 mm 600 (630) mm

*MEBT\_12\_RBCx3\_604.5\_LONG\_CHOPPER*

Cavity 1 735 mm 200 mm

Cavity 2 2745 mm 200 mm

Chopper 1270 mm 604.5 (635) mm

The following parameters for the RF cavity voltages have been derived from the RF power table of the first two cavities and the fast beam chopper. The numbers are presented in the following table:

21st August:

70900 / 88635

30th May:

original 4 cavity lattice:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 126.5 |  | 7.485 |  |  | 9.375 | 10.31 |  | 15 |
| 121.8 |  | 6.93 |  |  | 8.66 | 9.52 |  | 10 |

alternative 4 cavity lattice:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 76 |  | 2.71 |  |  | 3.38 | 3.72 |  | 5 |
| 73 |  | 2.49 |  |  | 3.11 | 3.42 |  | 5 |

3 cavity lattice

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 5.45 |  |  |  | 6.8125 | 7.493 |  | 10 |
| 2 | 2.15 |  |  |  | 2.68 | 2.948 |  | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (kV) | GPTscale | voltage |  | power |  |  | plus 25% | plus 10% |
| 155.15 | 0.8153 | 126.5 |  | 7.485 |  |  | 9.375 | 10.31 |
| 155.15 | 0.78506 | 121.8 |  | 6.93 |  |  | 8.66 | 9.52 |
| 155.15 | 0.4785 | 74.3 |  | 2.58 |  |  | 3.225 | 3.54 |
| 155.15 | 0.43031 | 66.8 |  | 2.08 |  |  | 2.6 | 2.86 |

**Amplitudes chosen for the two cavities considered in this simulation :**

*4 cavity lattice:*

test1 : SCALE1=0.4567; SCALE2=0.4567;

test2 : SCALE1=0.66; SCALE2=0.66;

test3 : SCALE1=0.815; SCALE2=0.66;

*3 cavity lattice:*

test1 : SCALE1=0.66; SCALE2=0.66;

test2 : SCALE1=0.66; SCALE2=0.66;

test3 : SCALE1=0.57; SCALE2=0.66;

The phasing of the cavities has been investigated and the following values have been used:

*4 cavity lattice:*

test1 : PHASE1=1.542; PHASE2=2.395;

test2 : PHASE1=1.542; PHASE2=2.395;

test3 : PHASE1=1.542; PHASE2=2.395;

*3 cavity lattice:*

test1 : PHASE1=-1.012; PHASE2=-1.19;


test2 : PHASE1=1.465; PHASE2=0.49;

test3 : PHASE1=1.465; PHASE2=0.49;


## 2) Simulation results

Test of phase setting of cavity to produce beam bunching and a constant mean energy....y scal is equivalent to the initial energy spread from the RFQ

**4cavitytest1-3**




**3cavitytest1-3**

****


Investigation of bunch length for various positions along the MEBT for the 6 different cases simulated.

the fist 40 cm are common for all simulations:




Following are only the detailed results for the 4 cavities case 1, and the 3 cavities case 3 which were the ones for decission. The other results are summarized in the table and plot following.

**4ctest1**




**3ctest3**

****

**Comparison table and plot :**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **C4test1** | | **C4test2** | | **C4test3** | | **C3test1** | | **C3test2** | | **C3test3** | |
| Z  (mm) | RF (degree) | Z  (mm) | RF (degree) | Z  (mm) | RF (degree) | Z  (mm) | RF (degree) | Z  (mm) | RF (degree) | Z  (mm) | RF (degree) |
| 0 | 70.05 | 0 | 70.05 | 0 | 70.05 | 0 | 70.05 | 0 | 70.05 | 0 | 70.05 |
| 200 | 77.69 | 200 | 77.69 | 200 | 77.69 | 200 | 77.69 | 200 | 77.69 | 200 | 77.69 |
| 400 | 98.17 | 400 | 98.17 | 400 | 98.17 | 400 | 98.17 | 400 | 98.17 | 400 | 98.17 |
| 512 | 110.92 | 512 | 110.54 | 512 | 110.26 | 690 | 132.78 | 735 | 139.14 | 735 | 139.23 |
| 900 | 123.95 | 900 | 107.12 | 900 | 94.33 | 970 | 126.68 | 970 | 132.99 | 970 | 138.35 |
| 994 | 128.84 | 994 | 108.02 | 994 | 92.21 | 1070 | 125.41 | 1070 | 131.24 | 1070 | 138.88 |
| 1094 | 134.84 | 1094 | 109.18 | 1094 | 90.17 | 1170 | 124.03 | 1170 | 129.65 | 1170 | 139.56 |
| 1194 | 139.81 | 1194 | 110.62 | 1194 | 90.19 | 1270 | 123.38 | 1270 | 128.25 | 1270 | 140.66 |
| 1294 | 145.53 | 1294 | 113.51 | 1294 | 92.64 | 1370 | 122.66 | 1370 | 127 | 1370 | 141.33 |
| 1394 | 151.54 | 1394 | 118.21 | 1394 | 95.46 | 1470 | 122.15 | 1470 | 125.93 | 1470 | 142.44 |
| 1494 | 158.34 | 1494 | 123.59 | 1494 | 99.58 | 1570 | 122.83 | 1570 | 125.13 | 1570 | 143.71 |
| 1594 | 166.16 | 1594 | 129.5 | 1594 | 105.09 | 2690 | 179.45 | 2745 | 176.63 | 2745 | 190.3 |
| 1760 | 178.5 | 1760 | 137.23 | 1760 | 117.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Upper plot shows 4 cavity case, lower plot shows 3 cavity case.

## 3) Discussion and conclusions

.....very briefly and with my own view....

1) In all cases we seem to need RF power on the level of 0.6 - 0.7 (GPT, ~ 99.1 kV, ~5.5 kW with safety margin at 0.66)

2) For the 600 mm chopper positioned shortly before 1 m and ~ 0.66 amplitude scaling the best position of the buncher would be at ~ 0.6 m - but this has to fit the transversal optics as well !

3) The discussed larger bore shorter cavity would be very helpful in every aspect and should be adopted.

4) The larger bore radius cavity should also increase safety margin for the chopped beam crossing it in 4 cavity lattice. If checked this should be sufficient.


5) If for the 4 cavity lattice a solution can be found to allow for sufficient space (110 mm) for two BPMs (a triplet instead of two doublets could be used together with the shorter cavity) which is competitive I see no further reason not to agree and freeze the lattice and start with the mechanical design.

6) I would also adopt the 80 mm quadrupoles in this process.

7) For the decision we would need the full results of one consistent run with all changes incorporated.

8) Under those assumptions, I would suggest to built the cavities in the following way : 3 cavities conventional + RF amplifiers (I assume a 7 kW unit and a 4+3 kW unit is sufficient but should check) - the fourth cavity can be seen as spare (or included if one wishes) but we should also consider the chance to built it as a direct drive cavity test (Alan do you think SIEMENS would be interested ?)


## Appendix A 4 cavities lattice scheme 1




## Appendix B 4 cavities lattice scheme 2

## MEBT_12_RBCx4_SCHEME_2rotated.jpg


## Appendix C 4 cavities lattice - last version - long chopper



## Appendix D - 3 cavity lattice last version



## Appendix E - Calculations for MEBT quads and RF power requirements (spreadsheet)



## Appendix F - Comparison document (21st Aug 2013 / 23rd Aug 2013)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **MEBT\_A\_80\_mm**  **(38 mm aperture)** | **MEBT**  **Morteza** |
| **Input** | **RFQ Dist** | **RFQ Dist** |
| **No. of Quads** | **9** | **6\*** |
| **Quadrupole length (mm)** | **80** | **70** |
| **Highest Quad. Grad (T/m)** | **17.5** | **16.59** |
| **No. of Cavities** | **3** | **2** |
| **Total Length (mm)** | **4750** | **4150** |
| **Highest Cavity Voltage (V)** | **70900** | **88635** |
| **Cumulated Beam Loss (%)** | **0.71** | **2.7** |
| **Cumulated Beam Loss (W)** | **142** |  |
| **Emittance growth X, Y, Z (%)** | **25.0, 16.3, 8.8** | **31, 0.34, -1.13** |
| **Fast Ch. Electr. Length (mm)** | **600** | **604.5** |
| **Fast Ch. Effective Electr. Voltage (V)** | **+/-1100** | **+/-1080** |
| **Fast Ch. Beam Extinction (%)** | **99.69** | **99.79** |
| **Fast Ch. Beam Dump Peak Power Dens. (W/mm2)** | **216** | **100 < 200** |
| **Slow Ch. Electrode Length (mm)** | **600** | **604.5** |
| **Slow Ch. Effective Electrode Voltage (V)** | **+/-1275** | **+/-1275** |
| **Slow Ch. Beam Extinction (%)** | **99.93** | **99.99** |
| **Slow Ch. Beam Dump Peak Power Dens. (W/mm2)** | **216** | **100 < 200** |

## Appendix G - GPT input files

**1) "4cavitylongtest1.in"**

# Simulation parameters

Qtot=-1.85185e-10; # Set Total bunch charge (( 1/324e6) x 0.06 A beam current

m=mp+2\*me;

q=qe;

setfile("beam", "GhostinZ\_100k.gdf"); #about 100,000 particles

setshuffle("beam");

settotalcharge("beam", Qtot);

spacecharge3Dmesh("MeshNtotal",38,38,80,"SolverAcc",0.01);

# Lattice Set-up

screen("wcs","I",0);

rectcoil("wcs","z",0.2,0.05,0.1,0.3,470000);

SCALE1=0.4567;

PHASE1=1.542;

frequency1=324e6;

k1=0.0;

map25D\_TM("wcs","z",0.512,"mebt\_sf\_map.gdf","R","Z","Er","Ez","H",SCALE1,k1,PHASE1, 2\*pi\*frequency1);

rmax ("wcs","z",0.512, 0.015,0.2);

rectcoil("wcs","z",0.85,0.05,0.1,0.1,310000);

SCALE2=0.4567;

PHASE2=2.395;

frequency2=324e6;

k2=0.0;

map25D\_TM("wcs","z",1.760,"mebt\_sf\_map.gdf","R","Z","Er","Ez","H",SCALE2,k2,PHASE2, 2\*pi\*frequency2);

rmax ("wcs","z",1.760, 0.015,0.2);

#Simulation output control

tout(0, 1.0e-7, 1e-10); # using new(fast) gdftrans file:

dtmax = 5E-11;

**2) "4cavitylongtest2.in"**

# Lattice Set-up

screen("wcs","I",0);

rectcoil("wcs","z",0.2,0.05,0.1,0.3,470000);

SCALE1=0.66;

PHASE1=1.542;

frequency1=324e6;

k1=0.0;

map25D\_TM("wcs","z",0.512,"mebt\_sf\_map.gdf","R","Z","Er","Ez","H",SCALE1,k1,PHASE1, 2\*pi\*frequency1);

rmax ("wcs","z",0.512, 0.015,0.2);

rectcoil("wcs","z",0.85,0.05,0.1,0.1,310000);

SCALE2=0.66;

PHASE2=2.395;

frequency2=324e6;

k2=0.0;

map25D\_TM("wcs","z",1.760,"mebt\_sf\_map.gdf","R","Z","Er","Ez","H",SCALE2,k2,PHASE2, 2\*pi\*frequency2);

rmax ("wcs","z",1.760, 0.015,0.2);

**3) "4cavitylongtest3.in"**

# Lattice Set-up

screen("wcs","I",0);

rectcoil("wcs","z",0.2,0.05,0.1,0.3,470000);

SCALE1=0.815;

PHASE1=1.542;

frequency1=324e6;

k1=0.0;

map25D\_TM("wcs","z",0.512,"mebt\_sf\_map.gdf","R","Z","Er","Ez","H",SCALE1,k1,PHASE1, 2\*pi\*frequency1);

rmax ("wcs","z",0.512, 0.015,0.2);

rectcoil("wcs","z",0.85,0.05,0.1,0.1,310000);

SCALE2=0.66;

PHASE2=2.395;

frequency2=324e6;

k2=0.0;

map25D\_TM("wcs","z",1.760,"mebt\_sf\_map.gdf","R","Z","Er","Ez","H",SCALE2,k2,PHASE2, 2\*pi\*frequency2);

rmax ("wcs","z",1.760, 0.015,0.2);

**4) "3cavitylongtest1.in"**

# Lattice Set-up

screen("wcs","I",0);

rectcoil("wcs","z",0.2,0.05,0.1,0.3,470000);

SCALE1=0.66; # was 0.65

PHASE1=-1.012; #0.522;

frequency1=324e6;

k1=0.0;

map25D\_TM("wcs","z",0.690,"mebt\_sf\_map.gdf","R","Z","Er","Ez","H",SCALE1,k1,PHASE1, 2\*pi\*frequency1);

rmax ("wcs","z",0.690, 0.015,0.2);

rectcoil("wcs","z",0.85,0.05,0.1,0.1,310000);

SCALE2=0.66;

PHASE2=-1.19;

frequency2=324e6;

k2=0.0;

map25D\_TM("wcs","z",2.690,"mebt\_sf\_map.gdf","R","Z","Er","Ez","H",SCALE2,k2,PHASE2, 2\*pi\*frequency2);

rmax ("wcs","z",2.690, 0.015,0.2);

**5) "3cavitylongtest2.in"**

# Lattice Set-up

screen("wcs","I",0);

rectcoil("wcs","z",0.2,0.05,0.1,0.3,470000);

SCALE1=0.66;

PHASE1=1.465;

frequency1=324e6;

k1=0.0;

map25D\_TM("wcs","z",0.735,"mebt\_sf\_map.gdf","R","Z","Er","Ez","H",SCALE1,k1,PHASE1, 2\*pi\*frequency1);

rmax ("wcs","z",0.735, 0.015,0.2);

rectcoil("wcs","z",0.85,0.05,0.1,0.1,310000);

SCALE2=0.66; # C2

PHASE2=0.49; #1.339; # + 0.8156;

frequency2=324e6;

k2=0.0;

map25D\_TM("wcs","z",2.745,"mebt\_sf\_map.gdf","R","Z","Er","Ez","H",SCALE2,k2,PHASE2, 2\*pi\*frequency2);

rmax ("wcs","z",2.745, 0.015,0.2);

**6) "3cavitylongtest3.in"**

# Lattice Set-up

screen("wcs","I",0);

rectcoil("wcs","z",0.2,0.05,0.1,0.3,470000);

SCALE1=0.57;

PHASE1=1.465;

frequency1=324e6;

k1=0.0;

map25D\_TM("wcs","z",0.735,"mebt\_sf\_map.gdf","R","Z","Er","Ez","H",SCALE1,k1,PHASE1, 2\*pi\*frequency1);

rmax ("wcs","z",0.735, 0.015,0.2);

rectcoil("wcs","z",0.85,0.05,0.1,0.1,310000);

SCALE2=0.66; # C2

PHASE2=0.49; #1.339; # + 0.8156;

frequency2=324e6;

k2=0.0;

map25D\_TM("wcs","z",2.745,"mebt\_sf\_map.gdf","R","Z","Er","Ez","H",SCALE2,k2,PHASE2, 2\*pi\*frequency2);

rmax ("wcs","z",2.745, 0.015,0.2);