Target Process: DMJ [DRAFT]



Target Process: SJB (guesswork)



Target Process: combine DMJ+SJB



Notes on Automated Design

- If an iteration loop surrounds an area that is solid blue, automated optimisation is possible
- I've tried this with:
  - MARS in my IPAC'10 paper
  - Tracking code in the Muon1 project
- FETS has an automated process
  - RFQ design code → AutoCAD → CST → GPT
- Target case maybe harder (MARS+ANSYS?)

IPAC'10 NF Target Optimisation

Science & Technology Facilities Council

www.scitech.ac.uk

Optimising Pion Production Target Shapes for the Neutrino Factory

S.J. Brooks [stephen.brooks@stfc.ac.uk], RAL, Chilton, OX11 0QX, UK

Abstract

The neutrino factory requires a source of pions within a momentum window determined by the 'muon front end' accelerator structure downstream. The technique of finding which parts of a large target block are net absorbers or emitters of particles may be adapted with this momentum window in mind. Therefore, analysis of a hadronic production simulation run using MARS15 can provide a candidate target shape in a single pass. However, changing the shape of the material also affects the absorption/emission balance, so this paper investigates iterative schemes to find a self-consistent optimal, or near-optimal, target geometry.

The probability of a pion producing a useful muon was determined as a function of the pion's original longitudinal and transverse momenta in a particle tracking study by John Back (Warwick). This distribution of probabilities is shown to the right. It is used as weightings to evaluate 'useful' pion yield.



Parameter	Value
Proton energy	10 GeV
Beam distribution	Parallel, circular parabolic
Beam radius	1 cm (r_{max})
Target material	Tantalum
Magnetic field	20 T in z direction
Geometry volume	1 m×10 cm radius cylinder
Geometry resolution	2 mm in z and r
Code used	MARS15.07
Hardware	100 CPU cores on SCARF
Protons simulated	10^6 (10^4 per core)

First Sequence – only removing material

Starting with a solid cylinder of tantalum 1m long and 10cm in radius, successive MARS simulations identify the parts that are net producers and absorbers of useful pions. On each iteration, the parts that are net absorbers are removed.

The first picture shows the pion balance in the original solid cylinderical block, the rest, from top to bottom show geometries 1 through 4.



Formula Fit to Core Part of Geometry 4 (best yield)



$$r_{[\mathrm{cm}]} = \sqrt[4]{\min\{1, 1.5 - 0.07 z_{[\mathrm{cm}]}\}}$$

Second Sequence – adding and removing material

Material is allowed to expand into adjacent bins after each removal step in the manner shown below (for geometry 1 in black becoming geometry 1x in red).



(right) Relation of iteration geometries to the original block. Downward steps remove regions that are net absorbers of pions; rightward steps expand the geometry.



(below) Individual pion tracks in the block target, seen in side and end views. Red denotes π^+ and blue π^-. Filled circles indicate pion emission and hollow circles absorption.



Resulting Pion Yields – compared to a 20×1cm radius cylindrical reference target ('rod')





Poster reference: WEPE098

Science & Technology Facilities Council
Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

ASTeC
Accelerator Science and Technology Centre

Muon1 Project



On each user's machine: